

(4) .

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) YONG CHU. (3)Conley Rose

Date of Interview: 31 July 2009.

(2) Grant Rodolph.

Type: a | ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c|☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes
e|☑ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.
Claim(s) discussed: 1-6, and 23-28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yes.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. The spoos of allowed subject matter was discussed. An agreement rhas been reached. Applicants provided a draft amendment of the claims, which are reflected in the attched Examiner's Amendment with authorization from the Applicants.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLIDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE UNITERVIEW. See MIPE Section 730.4 If a reply to the last Office action has already been fled. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAIL MIG DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. SHAMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on weres side or on attached sheet.